USA v. Jerald Francis Gray - 12/17/2021

1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ROANOKE DIVISION 2 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 Plaintiff, CRIMINAL NO.: 7:21MJ166 December 17, 2021 5 Roanoke, Virginia Initial Appearance and 6 Detention Hearing -v-7 JERALD FRANCIS GRAY, Before: ROBERT S. BALLOU 8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Defendant. WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA 9 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* 10 **APPEARANCES:** 11 For the Plaintiff: 12 MAGGIE CLEARY United States Attorneys Office 13 310 First Street SW, 9th Floor Roanoke, VA 24011 14 540-857-2250 maggie.cleary@usdoj.gov 15 For the Defendant: 16 CHRISTINE LEE 17 Federal Public Defender for the Western District of Virginia 210 First Street SW, Ste 400 18 Roanoke, VA 24011 540-777-0888 19 christine lee@fd.org 20 21 Brittany Davis - FTR Recorder 22 Mary J. Butenschoen - Transcriber 23 PROCEEDINGS TAKEN BY FTR; TRANSCRIBED USING COMPUTER-AIDED 24 TRANSCRIPTION 25

USA v. Jerald Francis Gray - 12/17/2021

Г		
1	INDEX	
2	WITNESS NAME	PAGE
3	FOR THE GOVERNMENT:	
4	LYNNE WITT	
5	Direct Examination By Ms. Cleary	11
6	Cross-examination By Ms. Lee	20
7	Redirect Examination By Ms. Cleary	29
8	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	
9	KIMBERLY FALATIC	
10	Direct Examination By Ms. Lee	30
11		
12		
13		
14		
15	* * * *	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

(Proceedings commenced 3:11 p.m.)

THE COURT: Mr. Gray, my name is Robert Ballou. I'm magistrate judge here in the Western District of Virginia. Let me start by -- first of all by asking Ms. Davis to call the case, please.

THE CLERK: United States of America v. Jerald Francis Gray, Criminal Action Number 7:21MJ166.

THE COURT: Let the record reflect the government is present by its counsel and defendant, likewise, is present along with counsel.

Mr. Gray, good afternoon. Like I said, my name is
Robert Ballou. I'm magistrate judge here in the Western
District of Virginia. We're heard today in connection with a
new criminal complaint that's been filed as to a Jerald Francis
Gray. Let me introduce you to everyone who is on the screen
here so you'll know who they are and what their role is, and
then we'll get about to your hearing. Of course, we have your
attorney, Ms. Lee. Ms. Cleary is the United States Attorney
involved in your case. Lynne Witt is the government law
enforcement agent with the FBI that's involved in your case.
Ms. Falatic is with our probation office. You may have spoken
with her or one of her colleagues in advance of today's
hearings. And Ms. Davis, she's our courtroom deputy who
coordinates these proceedings.

You can see a black box that says "Judge Ballou's

```
public line". That's in the event that anyone wishes to listen
     to today's proceedings, they can certainly do so, but they can
2
     not participate, but they can hear everything that's ongoing.
3
               All right. So let me start, Mr. Gray, by asking you
4
     to state your full name for me, please, sir.
5
               THE DEFENDANT: Jerald Francis Gray.
6
               THE COURT: How old are you, sir?
7
               THE DEFENDANT:
                                49.
8
               THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
9
               THE DEFENDANT: I graduated high school.
10
               THE COURT: All right. Fair to say you read and
11
     write English?
12
               THE DEFENDANT: Sir?
13
               THE COURT: Do you read and write English without a
14
     problem?
15
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
16
               THE COURT: Very well. And today you feel
17
     clearheaded and understand where you are and why you're here?
18
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
19
               THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gray, you're entitled to
20
     have this hearing before me in person if you wish to do so. We
21
     can proceed by videoconference only with your agreement and
22
     permission.
23
               Do you understand that?
24
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
25
```

THE COURT: Do I have your permission to proceed by 1 way of videoconference? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 3 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Lee, on behalf of 4 Mr. Gray, do I have your permission to proceed by way of 5 videoconference? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Thank you very much. So Mr. Gray, the 8 Fifth Amendment of the Constitution guarantees you the right to 9 remain silent. You don't have any obligation at all to make 10 any statements about these charges or to answer any questions 11 put to you by the government or its investigating officers, its 12 agents, or its attorneys. You do not have to participate in 13 the government's investigation. The fact of the matter is you 14 never have to take the stand to testify unless you choose to do 15 16 so. I will advise you that if you choose to waive your 17 right to remain silent, if you wish to make any statements or 18 answer any questions or if you don't wish to testify today or 19 any other time in the future, anything that you say can be used 20 against you. 21 Do you understand this? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 23 THE COURT: Now, the Sixth Amendment of the 24 Constitution gives you the right to be represented by an 25

attorney who will assist you in understanding the nature of the charges you face in challenging the government's evidence, as well as confronting and cross-examining the government's witnesses. Likewise, an attorney will assist you with preparing and presenting any defenses that you may have to these charges. You can hire any lawyer that you see fit to represent you, but if you cannot afford an attorney the government will appoint one for you at its expense.

Do you understand this?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: I do have your financial affidavit that you've completed when you spoke with probation by telephone.

Am I correct that you wish to have me appoint an attorney for you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. On that financial affidavit, understand you have an obligation to provide information that is truthful and correct to the best of your knowledge.

Otherwise it may be considered a separate felony offense for providing false information under oath.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And is the information that you provided to the probation officer true and correct to the best of your knowledge?

```
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
1
               THE COURT: All right. So based upon the allegations
2
     that are set forth in the complaint, based upon your affidavit,
3
     I find you qualified to have counsel appointed. I'm going to
4
     appoint Ms. Lee to be your attorney, and she'll represent you
5
     for as long as this matter is pending.
6
               So Mr. Gray, this is a one-count criminal complaint
7
     that alleges a charge of possession of child pornography in
8
     violation of 18 United States Code, Section 2252(a)(4). The
9
     complaint -- have you received a copy of it?
10
               THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
11
               THE COURT: Okay. We will have that emailed to you
12
     there at Western Virginia. Ms. Lee, you now have a copy of the
13
     complaint. Am I correct about that?
14
               MS. LEE: Yes, Your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: All right. First of all, is there -- is
16
     there any objection to sending the complaint to Mr. Gray there
17
     at Western Virginia? Sometimes complaints of this nature you
18
     do not want in the jail.
19
               MS. CLEARY: I don't believe so. Let me ask agent
20
     Witt, is that correct?
21
               MS. LEE: No, it's not up to you.
22
               THE COURT: No, it's --
23
               MS. CLEARY: Oh, sorry.
24
               THE COURT: It's more of a question -- it's a
```

```
question of Ms. Lee. It's for Mr. Gray's safety.
1
               MS. CLEARY: Got it.
2
               MS. LEE: Mr. Gray, they are going to send it to you
3
     unless you don't want this type of paperwork in the jail.
4
     usually say you don't want it in the jail.
5
               THE DEFENDANT: That's fine, yes.
6
               MS. LEE:
                         Okay.
7
               THE COURT: Let's -- let's not do that. Ms. Lee, you
8
     have had an opportunity to go over the --
9
               MS. LEE:
                        Yes.
10
               THE COURT: -- the complaint with Mr. Gray?
11
               MS. LEE:
                         I sure have.
12
13
               THE COURT: Very well. So the complaint -- you will
     at a point in time in the future, you will see it.
                                                          There's no
14
     doubt, Mr. Gray. The complaint, it has two parts.
                                                          The front
15
     page lays out the nature of the complaint, or nature of the
16
     charge, and then behind that is a -- is a -- an affidavit
17
     prepared by a federal law enforcement agent on which the
18
     government contends there's at least probable cause that you
19
     committed this offense. You are entitled to a preliminary
20
     hearing at which the government would have to put on sufficient
21
     evidence for me to find there is at least probable cause that
22
     you committed this offense. And if I find that, the matter
23
     then goes to the grand jury.
24
```

Now, under the Seventh Amendment, you cannot be

```
convicted or tried on this charge unless the grand jury reviews
     your case and decides that there's probable cause to issue an
2
     indictment.
3
               Do you understand this?
4
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
5
               THE COURT: All right. So if you waive your right to
6
     a preliminary hearing or just consent based upon the -- what's
7
     in the complaint, you don't waive anything. It simply goes to
8
     the grand jury.
9
               Understanding that, Ms. Lee, how do you wish to
10
     proceed as it relates to Mr. Gray on a preliminary hearing?
11
               MS. LEE: Your Honor, if the government is requesting
12
     detention, we would request a detention hearing right now.
13
               THE COURT: Okay. And a preliminary hearing as well?
14
               MS. LEE: Yes. Thank you.
15
               THE COURT: All right. Ms. Cleary, what's going to
16
     be the government's position as it relates to detention?
17
               MS. CLEARY: We're requesting detention, Your
18
     Honor.
19
               THE COURT: All right. Are you ready to proceed now
20
     with a preliminary and a detention hearing?
21
               MS. CLEARY: Yes, Your Honor.
22
               THE COURT: All right, very well.
23
               All right. Call your first witness, please.
24
               MS. CLEARY: Your Honor --
25
```

THE COURT: Everybody have the pretrial services report that it's been docketed?

MS. CLEARY: Yes.

MS. LEE: Your Honor, I have to apologize for being such a nuisance to your entire staff on so many of these, but I do think that we -- especially with Ms. Falatic have figured it all out now. She has started sending bond reports to an email which we asked her to send them to, but that our parallels did not know to check.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. LEE: So I do believe that we will be less of a nuisance going forward on future -- future initial hearing days. And thank -- please thank every relevant person for their patience with me.

THE COURT: You would not do your job if you didn't pursue the things that you thought were necessary. And I spoke to -- Ms. Lee, just so you'll know, I spoke to Ms. Williams -- MS. LEE: You mean a week or two ago.

THE COURT: Well, whenever it was, and we agreed that, you know, once the pretrial services report is made available to me and to the government, it absolutely needs to be made available to the defendant, and so I think it was going to be mailed over. Because there is that problem that until you're appointed you can't get into a --

MS. LEE: Docket.

```
THE COURT: -- sealed document, so...
1
               MS. LEE: Right. Thank you. Thank you.
2
               THE COURT: All right, thank you.
3
               All right. Ms. Cleary?
4
               MS. CLEARY: And Your Honor, I'm sorry, just to
5
     clarify, are we proceeding with a preliminary hearing now or
6
     the detention hearing?
7
               THE COURT: Well, we'll put them together. It will
8
     be a preliminary hearing and detention at the same time.
9
               MS. CLEARY: Got it, okay. So we'll call Agent
10
     Witt.
11
               THE COURT: All right. Ms. Witt, if I can get you to
12
     raise your right hand.
13
                 LYNNE WITT, CALLED BY GOVERNMENT, SWORN
14
               THE WITNESS: I do.
15
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
16
               Go ahead, please, Ms. Cleary.
17
               MS. CLEARY: Okay.
18
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
19
     BY MS. CLEARY:
20
          Can you state your name for the Court, please.
21
          Lynne Witt. L-Y-N-N-E. W-I T-T.
     Α
22
          And how are you employed?
23
          I'm a special agent with the FBI here in Roanoke.
     Α
24
          And were you so employed and on duty and involved in the
     Q
25
```

```
investigation of Mr. Gray?
1
     Α
          Yes.
2
          Can you tell us how you proceeded in that investigation?
3
           Information law enforcement became aware of, a
4
     peer-to-peer network -- excuse me. A person utilizing a
5
     particular IT address on a peer-to-peer file sharing network
6
     that was requesting, downloading, information files that
7
     represented child pornography.
8
          And you became --
     Q
9
     Α
          So --
10
           Sorry. You became aware of an IP address that was
11
     requesting images of what law enforcement knew to be child
12
13
     pornography.
     Α
          Yes.
14
     Q
          Okay.
15
          And so we further researched the owner of that IP address.
16
     It was owned by Lumos Network, and further administrative
17
     subpoena that was served to that subscriber was listed as
18
     Jerald Gray with an address of 610 East Cedar Street,
19
     Covington, Virginia.
20
          And is that within the Western District of Virginia?
21
     Α
          It is.
22
          Okay. And how did you proceed?
23
          We then did further investigative steps to determine who
     Α
24
     was indeed residing at 610 East Gray [sic] Street and
25
```

determined that Mr. Gray was the resident there, amongst 1 another adult person that did verify that that was his current 2 address. 3 And who all resided at that address? 4 There is his adult daughter lives there. Would you like 5 me to name her? 6 No, it's okay. And anyone else? 7 And two minor grandchildren, the children of his adult 8 daughter. 9 And how old are those children? 10 Approximately eight and seven years of age. 11 Α And so when you arrived at that address, did Mr. Gray 12 indicate which computer was his? 13 So when we -- we -- a federal search warrant was obtained 14 and we -- for the residence of 610 East Cedar Street, and that 15 was executed on December 8. And as a result of that execution 16 of the search warrant, Mr. Gray volunteered to an interview, 17 and in that interview identified his bedroom in the house and 18 that there was a computer system set up in there and he 19 described it to us during that interview. 20 As being his computer. 21 Α Yes. 22 And what did your search return on Mr. Gray's computer? 23 On scene the day of the search there were present two FBI Α 24 agent CART examiners, and through their analysis of that 25

computer system they were able to observe on the C drive the --1 a file name with the peer-to-peer network that got us all 2 started for this where we had seen the user of this IP 3 requesting child pornography files. And so they saw that 4 folder. And so further into that was a downloads folder that 5 contained approximately 60 files. I believe they are all video 6 type files and most with names that are indicative of child 7 pornography, CSAM. Child Sexual Abuse Material. Names 8 indicative of that. 9 And you reviewed one of those files? 10 I did review one on scene that day, and I was subsequently 11 given a copy of -- a working copy of those approximate 60 12 files. And I watched a different video, which is the one 13 outlined in the complaint that was described. 14 Okay. And so you all now have possession of Mr. Gray's 15 computer; is that correct? 16 Amongst other things, yes. 17 Okay. And those 60 files were downloaded in the folder 18 with the peer-to-peer network's name on his computer? 19 They were located in a folder named "downloads". Α 20 Okay. And so have you had the chance to fully 21 forensically analyze Mr. Gray's computer? 22 That has not been conducted by myself or others more Α 23 trained in that technique at this time. 24 And so to date, the only thing you've gone through is the 25

downloads file from that folder with the peer-to-peer network's 1 name? 2 I've observed a few videos from that collection of 60 3 files. 4 Okay. And you said that those videos -- or the video that 5 you viewed on scene that day you would describe as CSAM? 6 Yes, or child pornography, yes. 7 As child pornography, okay. 8 Now, on that day, December 8, did you all interview 9 Mr. Gray? 10 11 Α Yes. And what did Mr. Gray say during that interview? 12 He confirmed he had Lumos Network. He confirmed where his 13 bedroom was in the house and described the computer set up 14 which matched with where the agents had viewed the peer-to-peer 15 network being used on that device. He said he's the primary 16 user of that computer system. He did admit to downloading 17 files from the peer-to-peer file sharing network that was 18 suspected of sharing those files at the very beginning that I 19 referenced. He and then admitted to reviewing files that 20 were -- that appeared to be child pornography CSAM material and 21 that -- and so he -- that's what he had -- some of the 22 statements that he made during that interview. 23 So following, was Mr. Gray offered a polygraph 24 examination? 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Witt - Direct 16

Α He was offered during that interview and the arrangements for a polygraph interview -- and the arrangements were made for him to have that occur on the following day there in Covington. And so he arrived himself to the place of the polygraph, and at that point I introduced him to the polygrapher, and he, you know, takes over that part of the process. And so following the polygraph, was another interview conducted with Mr. Gray? The polygrapher conducted a post-polygraph interview. Α Okay. And what did Mr. Gray state during that interview? He admitted to -- first off, he -- he admitted to having -- at first he admitted to having touched the breasts of one of his daughter's friends, whose victim's -- whose name is known to me, in approximately June of -- well, in 2005. And so he admitted to that, touching of her breasts in the overnight hours. So let's talk a little bit more about that. So during -so during the interview he was asked about an incident in 2005 with a friend of his daughter's, and she was a juvenile at the time; is that right? Α Correct. We can call her initials "S.S.". Okay. In preparing for identifying everything about the residence of 610 Cedar Street, obviously, we learned about

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Witt - Direct 17

Mr. Gray and also learned about complaint filed with Covington Police Department in 2005 by the then, you know, minor victim S.S.. And so I was privy to -- I was able to review her statement provided at the time to Covington Police Department. The report read they were not able to -- nothing ever was adjudicated or charged from that, and I believe it was in November of 2005. It was put into an inactive status by Covington Police Department. And so given the nature of why we were searching at 610 East Cedar Street, I wanted -- that is why that was brought up with Mr. Gray during the interview about that time frame and that incident Now, initially the statements that Mr. Gray has made to the polygrapher, were those consistent with what you had read in the report that was given by S.S. in 2005? It was not completely consistent. His first statement to the polygrapher stated he had touched the victim's breasts. And in reviewing the victim's statement at the time in 2005, she had reported that he had kissed her breasts. And so the polygrapher addressed this issue with Mr. Gray, and Mr. Gray did then reveal to the polygrapher that, yes, I did kiss her breasts. All right. And at the time do we have an estimate of what S.S.'s age might have been? I think roughly 12. Α Okay. Now, was there another incident that Mr. Gray spoke Q

with with the polygrapher in terms of touching underage 1 women? 2 He referenced that there was a babysitter that would come 3 to their house -- and this was prior to the 2005 incident. 4 That would come to their house, and he referenced like playing 5 on the floor with her, and in that playing his hand would --6 would touch her breasts long enough, but not too long. And so 7 that is something else that he disclosed in his post-polygraph 8 interview to the polygrapher. 9 Now, in speaking with the polygrapher, did he indicate 10 sort of what ages of young girls he was most interested in? 11 In the interview to us on December 8, he said, you know, 12 older teenage -- or not young children, but kind of that early 13 teen/preteenage is what he preferred. 14 And did he make other statements to you-all or to the 15 polygrapher about seeing women in street, seeing girls in the 16 street? 17 He would describe incidences where he's out in a public 18 venue, such as a store or supermarket, and see an attractive 19 minor-aged female and then would later return home and 20 masturbate when thinking about that young female he had seen 21 previously. 22 And what statement did he make about masturbating 23 involving the incident with S.S. in 2005? 24 I'd have to review the polygrapher's report to get more --Α 25

to be more specific with that. 1 Okay. Was there anything else during your investigation Q 2 that Mr. Gray stated about either this incident -- or that he 3 stated about this incident in 2005? 4 Can you repeat your question? Sorry. 5 Was there anything else that Mr. Gray stated about the 6 incident in 2005 that we have not spoken about? 7 We -- we discussed from the victim's statement that was 8 made in 2005, she recounted Mr. Gray returning into the room a 9 second time and I think touching her -- her buttocks area. 10 When Mr. Gray was asked about that, he -- he couldn't remember 11 clearly if that had happened or not. 12 Okay. Okay, thank you. Q 13 MS. CLEARY: We'll pass the witness, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Before, Ms. Lee, you start 15 cross-examining, Ms. Witt, you testified that you reviewed a 16 video as described in the complaint. Is that described in 17 paragraph 11 of the complaint? 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. 19 THE COURT: Ms. Lee, is there any objection to me 20 reviewing paragraph 11 for the nature of that video or would 21 you rather have that on the record so you can cross-examine? 22 Of course, if you allow me to review it, it's certainly 23 available to your cross-examination as well, but --24 MS. LEE: I absolutely do not object to you reviewing 25

```
paragraph 11.
1
                THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you very much.
2
               Go ahead, Ms. Lee.
3
                             CROSS-EXAMINATION
4
     BY MS. LEE:
5
          When you first -- okay. What's the first contact you had
6
     with Mr. Gray?
7
          Mr. Gray would have been on the morning of December 8.
8
          Was it by phone or in person?
9
     Q
     Α
          It was in person.
10
          So you knocked on his door?
11
          We executed a search warrant at his residence. As a part
12
     of that execution he was called out of the house.
13
          Okay. What was his demeanor?
14
          I would say calm. I think, you know, wondering what was
15
     going on. And I would describe him as cooperative.
16
          Okay. And you say you offered him a polygraph. What do
17
     you mean by offer? Like normally when one offers something
18
     it's for the other person's benefit. You mean you asked him to
19
     take a polygraph?
20
          I did ask him if he would be willing to take a
21
     polygraph.
22
          And what did you tell him was the purpose of that
23
     polygraph?
24
          The purpose was to be able to have the polygrapher derive
     Α
25
```

П

- questions as it relates to any contact offenses that Mr. Gray
- may have had with a minor, any sexual contact offenses he may
- 3 have had with any minor.
- Q You told him that was the reason; we want to know if you
- 5 have had contact with minors?
- 6 A I believe that's what I told him, yes.
- 7 Q Okay. And he -- he agreed to do that.
- 8 A He agreed and came back on the subsequent day voluntarily
- 9 | to the police department for that.
- 10 Q He never asked for an attorney or anything like that,
- 11 right?
- 12 A No, he did not.
- 13 Q Is it fair to say that if he had not told you about this
- 14 2005 situation you would not have known about it?
- 15 A If I understood your question, that is incorrect. I knew
- 16 about the 2005 incident from the police report made to
- Covington Police Department. So I knew that prior -- I knew
- 18 | that prior to talking to him on December 8, if that was what
- 19 your question was.
- 20 Q It was my question.
- 21 A Okay.
- 22 Q So you asked him about the 2005 incident?
- 23 | A Yes.
- 24 Q And he told you an incomplete account. Well, it's still
- an account of him engaging in wrongdoing, right?

On doing the interview on December 8, he did not admit to Α 1 that contact, or to the allegations made in the report. He was 2 aware of the person's name as being a friend of his daughter's, 3 but he did not admit to the allegations that were in the police 4 report during his interview on December 8. 5 Did he deny the allegations in the police report? Q 6 He did. Α 7 But then he admitted them during the polygraph? 8 In a post-polygraph interview, yes. Α 9 Was he asked during the polygraph "did you touch -- did 10 you ever touch S.S.?" 11 That was not -- that was not one of the -- he was given 12

- A That was not -- that was not one of the -- he was given two pertinent questions. That was not it. I would have to look at the report to tell you the specific questions asked.
- Q At some point, though, in the course of -- I'm sorry, what day was the polygraph?
- 17 A The following day, December 9.

13

14

15

16

20

- Q Okay. So between the 8th and the 9th, he admitted to the conduct involving S.S.
  - A In his post-polygraph interview he did make that admission.
- Q Okay. Do you know when in relation -- okay, let me back up.
- From what you know, S.S. was a guest at his house, right?

A Yes.

- Q She was visiting his daughter, who was at that time a minor.
- 4 A Yes.
- Q And let me just ask you another question: Ms. Cleary
- asked you whether he said -- what he said about ages that he
- 7 has felt sexually disposed towards. And you used the word
- 8 | "preteen". He did not use that word, right?
- A I can't recall the exact wording that he used at this time.
- 11 Q He gave -- did he give specific ages to you? Numbers?
- 12 A I could -- I'd have to -- I think that came up in the
- interview. Without reviewing the notes or the interview, I
- wouldn't want to say on the record without being able to
- refresh my memory, but I do --
- Q Could you please look at your notes and refresh your
- memory?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Thank you.
- 20 A Within the notes I see that he referenced he's trying to
- 21 watch young teen girls.
- Q Okay. So he didn't use the word "preteen".
- 23 A That's not what was written in the notes by the
- 24 notetaker.
- Q Okay. Were you present for that interview?

- A I was.
- Q Okay. So the notetaker and you were both present.
- 3 A Yes.

- Q Okay, thank you.
- Could you please tell the Court -- and this is relevant to the detention hearing part of it. What kind of house does
- 7 Mr. Gray live in?
- 8 A modest house, two-story if you count maybe -- there's a
- 9 top level entry level story and then a basement level. I would
- describe the upper main entry level as where the bedrooms and
- 11 | living area is and down below is a kitchen area. I believe
- that's where the rest room, the bathroom, is for the home and
- some other storage type rooms.
- 14 Q Do you know if he owns the home?
- 15 A I do believe he owns the home.
- 16 Q Do you know --
- 17 A In comparison to like renting it, ma'am? Is that --
- 18 Q Yes. Yes.
- 19 A Owning, uh-huh.
- Q Okay. Do you remember there was a dog there?
- 21 A I don't recall a dog.
- Q Okay. Did you find -- did you find any firearms on the
- 23 premises?
- 24 A There was no firearms found.
- Q Was his adult daughter home at the time?

- A She was. Of the search, yes.
- 2 Q Did you interview her?
- 3 A I spoke to her very briefly, but I would say I didn't --
- 4 you know, I did not interview her. And that was sort at the
- 5 end of things when she I think was going to be going off to get
- 6 | food or, you know, sort of leaving -- leaving the area.
  - Q Okay. Where does Mr. Gray work, if you know?
- 8 A He's employed by Waco, Inc., and as I understand it he
- g does railroad type work for the large -- I think it's a paper
- 10 plant or mill that is there in Covington.
- 11 | Q Did you arrest him today?
- 12 A I did.

1

- Q Okay. And he was at work, right?
- 14 A When I -- I arrested him in the parking lot of the
- 15 Covington Police Department.
- 16 Q Okay. Could you tell the Court how that went. Did you
- 17 | call him from the parking lot?
- 18 A I did call him from the parking lot.
- 19 Q And what did you say --
- 20 A And told him that I was -- I told him that I was there and
- that I had a phone to return to him.
- THE COURT: You broke up there for a second there,
- Ms. Witt. You said you had a federal -- and then you broke up.
- THE WITNESS: So starting back, I said I called him
- 25 | from the parking lot, the Covington Police Department parking

lot, and told him that I had a phone that I could return to him 1 there. Did that answer your question? 2 THE COURT: It did, thank you. 3 BY MS. LEE: 4 Was that -- that was untrue? 5 I did not have a phone that I was going to be returning to 6 him, no. 7 And I'm going to guess that you said that because if you 8 say we're here to arrest you he might not come outside; is that 9 right? 10 11 Α Agree to meet me. I'm sorry? 12 Α I said or agree to meet with me. 13 Okay, I got it. But in fact, when he came outside and you 14 made moves to arrest him, he did not run, correct? 15 He did not run, no. 16 Α Okay. I have one more question, if I can just remember 17 it. 18 The incident in 2005, it was reported --19 I'm sorry. Α 20 You're fine. It was reported to the police, but Mr. Gray 21 was never charged with anything? 22 It's not reflected in the file, and nor did I see anything Α 23 of that on his criminal history in the Covington Police 24 Department. I'm not aware --25

- Q Did you -- go ahead.
- 2 | A I'm not aware of him being charged for that based on
- 3 | that --

- 4 Q Did you talk to the Covington police about it?
- 5 A I did.
- 6 Q And they told you that they closed the case?
- 7 A It just reflects that in November of that year it was put
- 8 into an inactive status, as they could not locate Mr. Gray, and
- 9 that's all I know.
- 10 Q So the Covington records state that they closed the case
- 11 because they could not locate Mr. Gray, right?
- 12 A I see the phrase "inactive status". I don't know if that
- means closed case in their language. So inactive status.
- 14 Q According to the Covington police, they placed the case in
- inactive status because they could not locate him, right?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q But from your search he's actually lived in Covington for
- 18 30 years, right?
- 19 A I don't -- I think he's referenced living at that
- 20 particular address for 20. Prior to that I'm not aware if it
- was all in Covington to make 30 years.
- Q Okay. So he's lived at the house since before 2005, and
- he lives there now, right?
- 24 A Yes.
- MS. LEE: That's all I have of this witness. Thank

you.

THE COURT: I don't believe I have any questions.

Actually, Ms. Witt, let me -- let me ask this:

Either through your interview or the polygrapher's interview, was Mr. Gray asked any questions as to whether he had had any contact with juveniles other than what was laid out in the 2005 incident or what I'll call the babysitter incident?

THE WITNESS: Without -- I could look at the report and tell the Court the exact statements that I do believe represented a broad question to sexual contact with minors. So he was asked about that through the polygraph questions. I do -- I will say that he denied any sexual contact offenses on his grandchildren and on his daughter.

THE COURT: Okay. And the grandchildren are eight and seven What sexes are those? Male, female.

THE WITNESS: They are female.

THE COURT: Both females, okay. Do you know whether the daughter is aware of the 2005 incident or the babysitter incident that Mr. Gray has discussed in his interviews?

THE WITNESS: I have not reviewed a report yet of the conversations that she had the morning of the search with that particular group. I don't think that she talked about the 2005 incident. And she briefly -- and previously when I mentioned I spoke to her, very briefly before she departed she had vaguely said something -- I remember something about a babysitter and I

Witt - Redirect 29

```
knew nothing more than that.
1
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you. That's all the
2
     question I have.
3
               Ms. Cleary, does my question or Ms. Lee's questions
4
     prompt any redirect?
5
               MS. CLEARY: Yes, Your Honor.
6
                           REDIRECT EXAMINATION
7
     BY MS. CLEARY:
8
          Special Agent Witt, can you describe -- so when you were
9
     talking about the bedroom -- or, I'm sorry, the home, I think
10
     you said that downstairs, the basement level, there is a
11
     bathroom and storage. So are all of the bedrooms on the same
12
     level of the home?
13
          That's how it appeared to me, yes.
14
          So there's no bedroom in the basement level.
15
          Not that I observed. I did not observe every room in the
16
     house. My primary time was spent with Mr. Gray. But the two
17
     bedrooms of the home were on the main -- main level, not the
18
     basement level.
19
          And the grandchildren's date of births were in the years
20
     2013/2014; is that right?
21
          I believe that's correct.
     Α
22
          So they are somewhere in the seven, eight, nine range?
23
               MS. CLEARY: Okay. Okay. That's all, Your Honor.
24
               THE COURT: All right, thank you very much. Thank
25
```

Falatic - Direct 30

```
you, Ms. Witt.
1
               All right. Any other evidence, Ms. Cleary, other
2
     than for me to take notice of the pretrial services report?
3
               MS. CLEARY: Just that, Your Honor.
4
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
5
               Ms. Lee?
6
               MS. LEE: Your Honor, I would like to ask just a few
7
     questions of Ms. Falatic.
8
               THE COURT: All right. Ms. Falatic, let me get you
9
     sworn, if I could, please.
10
               KIMBERLY FALATIC, CALLED BY DEFENDANT, SWORN
11
               THE WITNESS: I do.
12
               THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, please, Ms. Lee.
13
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
14
     BY MS. LEE:
15
          I know we've worked with compressed time, so you -- I'm
16
     sorry. Could you state your name and your job for the
17
     record?
18
          Kimberly Falatic, and I'm a supervising U.S. probation
19
     officer here in the Roanoke office.
20
          Did you personally interview Mr. Gray on the phone for
21
     this --
22
         I did.
     A
23
          -- presentence report? Okay.
     Q
24
          But you were unable to speak to anybody else about him
25
```

Falatic - Direct 31

```
because --
1
          Yes, I left a message for his daughter, and she literally
2
     Α
     just called about ten seconds ago.
3
          Okay. You were able to learn that she herself has an open
4
     criminal case, correct?
5
     Α
          Yes, ma'am.
6
          And what's that for?
7
          Possession of a Schedule I or II controlled substance.
8
          That's personal use possession, from what you can see?
     Q
9
     Α
          I do not know that.
10
          Well, it's possession. It's not possession with intent to
11
     distribute, okay.
12
13
     Α
          Yes.
          Okay. And do you know her age?
14
          She is 28.
     Α
15
           28 years old. And works at Wendy's, to the best of your
16
     knowledge?
17
          Yes, that's what Mr. Gray indicated.
18
                MS. LEE: Okay. Court's indulgence.
19
     BY MS. LEE:
20
          Did you find Mr. Gray cooperative and forthcoming in your
21
     interview with him?
22
     Α
         Yes, he was.
23
                MS. LEE: That's all the questions I have.
24
                THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Cleary.
25
```

MS. CLEARY: No questions, Your Honor. 1 THE COURT: Ms. Falatic, it appears as though -- you 2 were the interview officer. You made a recommendation of 3 release? 4 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 5 THE COURT: I'm going to ask a compound question. 6 I was a lawyer I would object to it, but I'm going to ask it 7 just so we can -- and that is: First of all, can you tell me 8 why you recommended release? Secondly, did you know about the 9 polygraph interviews and the contact? And does that 10 information change your recommendation? 11 THE WITNESS: I recommended release because he owns 12 his own home, he's been a resident of Covington for over 20 13 years, I think he's owned his home, and he's been in Virginia 14 for over 30 years, and he's employed full-time doing the same 15 work. He was with one company, but that contract ended, and 16 that's why he transferred over to Waco, is because they 17 received a contract. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Secondly, did you -- you've heard 19 Special Agent Witt testify. Did you know anything at all about 20 the polygraph interview and the 2005 and the babysitter 21 incident that she's discussed in her testimony? 22 THE WITNESS: I was not aware of that prior to 23 writing and recommending bond in this case. 24 THE COURT: How, if at all, does that affect your 25

recommendation in this case? THE WITNESS: Our concern would be the safety of his 2 grandchildren and the safety of the community at large for what 3 he has admitted during his polygraph interview, and I'm not 4 sure what conditions the Court could fashion to reduce that 5 risk now. 6 THE COURT: Because the grandchildren live there 7 and -- in large part or --8 THE WITNESS: With his daughter working, there would 9 be no adult there to supervise him with contact with his 10 granddaughters. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Any questions -- we don't know 12 anything at all about -- all we know is what Ms. Witt has 13 testified to that he has denied any contact with his daughter 14 or granddaughters, correct. 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Okay. I think that's all the questions I 17 have. 18 Ms. Lee, it was your witness. Any further questions 19 of Ms. Falatic? 20 BY MS. LEE: 21 In fashioning your initial recommendation, you also took 22 into account that he does not have a serious criminal history, 23 right? 24

Α

25

Yes.

```
Q
          And there was no evidence of failure to appear or anything
     like that, right?
2
          Correct.
3
                         That's all I have of -- everything else is
               MS. LEE:
4
     argument, and I think there's enough in the pretrial services
5
     report to inform my argument.
6
               THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Cleary, do my questions of
7
     Ms. Falatic prompt any questions from your perspective?
8
               MS. CLEARY: No, Your Honor.
9
               THE COURT: All right, thank you.
10
               All right. Any other evidence, Ms. Lee?
11
               MS. LEE: No, Your Honor, thank you.
12
               THE COURT: All right. Ms. Cleary, argument?
13
               MS. CLEARY: Your Honor --
14
               THE COURT: Let me ask one question just real quick.
15
               Ms. Lee, do you submit on probable cause or do you
16
     want me --
17
               MS. LEE: Yes. Yes, I submit on probable cause.
18
     Yes, I do.
19
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. Go ahead, Ms. Cleary.
20
     Focus on just detention.
21
               MS. CLEARY: Right, so just for detention.
22
               So Your Honor, the government is going to ask that he
23
     be held in this case, and this is exactly for the reasons that
24
     were stated previously, which is that the government is not
25
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

concerned that Mr. Gray is a flight risk. It's true that he's owned his home, that he stayed in the same community for this many years. The biggest concern for the government is that he is a danger to the community because of this 2005 incident. This is something that was reported in 2005 by this minor, who was a friend of his daughter's, who was at the house for a sleepover. That police report, which he was ultimately confronted with after not one but two but the third time, Mr. Gray did ultimately admit that this has happened; that this was not just a casual touching. This goes far beyond this. He is ultimately kissing a prepubescent girl's breasts. Now, this is consistent with what he's told law enforcement in terms of what he's -- quote, unquote -- attracted to. He said that he's attracted to prepubescent girls. So in this, you know, 13, 14, 15 age range. And given that his daughter lives in the home with him and that his granddaughters both live in the home with them and that they are quickly approaching that range and that he frequently will be out in public and he'll see women of that -- young girls of that age range and continue to be attracted to them, the government just believes that given all of this he is a risk to the community. He's in a home with two young girls. They are all in bedrooms that are on the same floor and very close together, and the government believes that it would be best that he's detained until his trial.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Cleary.

Ms. Lee?

MS. LEE: Your Honor, what would be best is not a test. This is not a presumption case. It's a presumption of release unless no combination of conditions could be established to assure the safety of the community.

The government is hanging its hat on an uncharged conduct that occurred 16 years ago but is suddenly concerned -- I mean, the police who investigated it were not concerned apparently at all. After three months they said they couldn't find the subject. And honestly, that's the craziest thing I've ever heard. I've never heard of the police dismissing a case because they can't find the culprit. Especially when he's not even anywhere -- right in front of them. I'm not saying that the 2005 incident didn't happen and should not give the Court some concern that should translate into the Court's imposing conditions designed to protect the community, but --

THE COURT: Help me understand what those conditions are, because I think that's what Ms. Falatic said was her concern.

MS. LEE: Yes.

THE COURT: Was how do we protect the grandchildren.

MS. LEE: Okay. I'm going to be candid. I told
Mr. Gray "Judge Ballou is not going to want you living with
your children pretrial". I could sit here and argue, no, they

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are outside of his, you know, apparent preferred range, but, you know, the Court has to be concerned, and I'm not going to deny that.

Mr. Gray I can proffer does own his home outright. He is not paying a mortgage on it, and he has an excellent job that provides him with an income. And there's a subplot here which is that he supports -- he financially supports his daughter and granddaughters at the home. She works at Wendy's, but it's hard to make a living at Wendy's. So I would grant that the Court -- it would be reasonable for the Court to want to ensure a separation between -- even though there's been no allegations of anything for 15 years, and there has not been -and he was polygraphed. I wish I could do it over and tell my clients don't ever, you know, submit to a random polygraph, especially when they are executing a search warrant on your home. But the facts are what they are, and he did submit to a polygraph and he was confronted with events from his past. But even that polygraph did not yield any information regarding any conduct occurring in the last 16 years. And that's a huge period of time. So whatever --

THE COURT: We don't know -- and what we don't know -- we know what his answers were, and Ms. Cleary rightfully did not ask what the polygraph results were because I don't think that's --

MS. LEE: Yes.

THE COURT: -- something I can consider. So we know 1 what his answers were, but I don't know what the polygraph 2 revealed. 3 MS. LEE: Right. But we have the post polygraph --4 THE COURT: Interview, right. 5 MS. LEE: -- confrontation that yielded this 6 information. 7 THE COURT: Correct. 8 MS. LEE: So where we are is that we do not have any 9 information regarding any contact offense for 16 years. We 10 have him charged with an offense that does not create a 11 presumption of detention, and we have all the traditional 12 indicia of dangerousness and risk of flight in his favor. He's 13 got the stable job of many years. He's got the home that's 14 paid off. He's got an absence of committing offenses on 15 He's got an absence of failing to appear. 16 I would respectfully -- and I -- I'm not being as 17 zealous on his behalf as I could be, but I'd respectfully ask 18 that the Court release him, and I would not object to the 19 Court's imposing a requirement that he not have any 20 unsupervised conduct with any children, including those in his 21 own family, or any other children, obviously, which are not --22 which are not -- I mean, that's a gimme, but the Court would 23

I don't think there's any reason to believe or to

have to give to provide that condition.

24

25

question that he would be able to sustain that in this pretrial period. He would go to work. I believe that he would have to get himself an apartment or similar for this pretrial period. I believe he'd have to move out of his home for this pretrial period, or his daughter and children would have to move out, but I don't think that would be fair to them. And I've warned him that I was going to suggest that in an effort to allow the Court to fashion conditions that would agree -- reasonably show -- again, the test does not guarantee the safety of the community. The test is reasonably sure. But if he were to move out of his home, and given the age of the allegations, I would -- I would respectfully request that as a condition.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Lee.

Ms. Cleary? And I think you can address your -- your comments to the issue of if -- and I'll put it this way:

Whether he moves out or his daughter and children move out, if Mr. Gray lives in a place by himself free of the internet, free of anything along those lines, what is the government's position then? In other words, with electronic monitoring and no contact with children, what is -- what's the government's position at that point?

MS. CLEARY: Your Honor, the first thing the government is going to point out is that presently -- and I think that this has come up very quickly, but there seems to be no plan for Mr. Gray to move out. It would be difficult to

figure out a way in which, you know, his adult daughter who is raising two minor children to be able to all of a sudden leave and be someplace else. Either they live someplace else or he lives someplace else on simply his meager salary.

And in the midst of this keeping in mind that -- and I don't know what their custody status would be, but certainly keeping in mind that his daughter does have this pending court date in January of this year for her felony charges as well.

But in terms of Mr. Gray living by himself, and what the government wanted to address in regards to Ms. Lee's argument as to the fact that this is not a presumption case, currently, just to situate this case into kind of larger statute and case law here, currently Mr. Gray is only charged with one count of possession of child pornography. However, given what Special Agent Witt has testified to today, there were 63 downloads that were found on Mr. Gray's computer.

Now, so I think technically -- you know, he could have been charged with 63 counts of possession of child pornography. But in addition to that, the vast -- or however many images or videos that are actually on his computer are presently unknown. So the only thing that we're aware of at this moment is this very brief interview with the -- with the -- with the person who conducted the polygraph, the polygrapher, in addition to these 63 images.

Now, technically -- I'm sorry.

THE COURT: But from a -- from my perspective and your burden of establishing that clear and convincing evidence, is it all that I can go on either -- not either, but what he's been charged with, not with what's possible.

MS. CLEARY: Yes. No, no, I understand. I understand. But I will just point out -- you know, since technically Mr. Gray wasn't charged -- wasn't ever fully charged -- or wasn't ever charged period with that 2005 incident, but what I was just going to point out was that if Mr. Gray had been charged with a download, which is -- or with receipt of child pornography which can be shown through these downloads, there is a presumption against bond in that case.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. CLEARY: And so that's -- that's what I was going to say, and that's what I was going to point out. I believe that given the posture of child pornography cases that there is a difference when somebody is willing to cross the line and physically touch a young child, and I think that Mr. Gray has shown that he is capable of doing that and that he has this pattern. And again, we just have these 61 images, but that certainly doesn't mean that there could be more. And he's only been charged with one. And I understand that that's all that's before the Court today, but what the Court knows is that he is somebody who has openly admitted in the last couple of weeks to spending the last 15 years sexually attracted to young women,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to seeing young women or young girls out in public to see -- to being -- to masturbating to the thought of prepubescent girls and then ultimately to, you know, creating and constructing interactions where he can touch them, including, you know, when they are asleep. So given that we know that this happened in 2005, and we know that he admitted to, you know, the babysitter incident as we're calling it and we know that he has access to children, I believe that he's still a danger to the community given all of this information and that he should be detained. THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much, Ms. Cleary. MS. LEE: Your Honor, to be clear, I am not asking the Court to release him and requiring the daughter to move out. I am saying he could --THE COURT: He could move out. MS. LEE: He would need to find a place. He would need to move out effective the minute he were released, including temporary lodging until he could find a suitable apartment. THE COURT: Right. MS. LEE: And I'm not going to address Ms. Cleary's argument that the Court should treat it like a presumption case because they could have charged it differently, because I think that's --

THE COURT: I can't do that.

MS. LEE: -- not valid.

THE COURT: Yeah, I cannot do that.

So here's where I am, Mr. Gray. First of all, in the bond cases there are really only two questions in front of me. One is are you a flight risk, and, secondly, are you a danger to the community. There's not any issue here, and the government does not argue, that you are a flight risk. And so the issue is whether you're a danger to the community. That's something that the government must prove by clear and convincing evidence.

In that regard, there's been a lot of bantering back and forth as to whether there's a presumption that you are to be detained. Given the way which you are presently charged, that you're -- there is not a presumption that you are to be detained. You're charged with one count of possession of child pornography as laid out in paragraph 11 of the complaint. As it relates to that, there is evidence -- there is probable cause that you committed this offense. I'm going to send that to the grand jury

With respect to the conditions of detention versus release, the factors I consider are this: One is the nature of the offense, and that is that you had in your possession child pornography. They were in a file folder with many -- and I'll say many because I don't believe Ms. With testified that every I guess file name was consistent with child pornography, but

many of them were. She has reviewed one video that she didn't describe in detail on scene and then one video that was provided to her that's described inside the criminal complaint. But the circumstantial evidence would suggest it is consistent with possession of much child pornography. And with thorough forensic evaluation of the evidence that was seized will yield -- will yield an answer to that.

The evidence further consists that you are employed. You own your home. You have a good job. You do not have a bad criminal record. I think there was -- I've got the criminal record up here. It shows that you had one prior felony in 2013 in which you were on supervised probation. Are you off supervised probation at this time?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. It was only three year probation.

THE COURT: All right. So three years suspended and three years probation. All right. And you had one other charge in 2000 and another one in 1993 when you were much -- much younger.

The evidence that is -- and based upon that evidence the government -- not the government, but probation had recommended your release upon condition.

The evidence before me is what was yielded in this post-polygraph interview and the interview at the time of the search with Ms. Witt that suggests that there are two

incidences in which there is conduct with a minor and -- and that you have remained sexually attracted and have at least acted with yourself in response to that attraction of sexual minors -- of minors.

Based upon that and the evidence of going forward and the number of files that were found, I do find you to be a danger to the community. I don't -- and what I would want to consider, Ms. Lee, is whether there is a separate home plan. Rather than simply release Mr. Gray and say you've got to go live somewhere else, whether there is a separate home plan that can be -- that can be fashioned in that regard. But I also -- Ms. Witt, how long will this forensic evaluation be?

AGENT WITT: I can't speak to that. There were two sort of computer hard drive towers, if you will, that will need to be sent to Richmond to be evaluated by the CART agents, and I'm hoping to be able to review some of the more external storage datas that were seized here locally.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, so my ruling at this time,

Ms. Lee, is that the evidence before me establishes by clear

and convincing evidence that Mr. Gray is a danger to the

community, but the present home plan of either living with his

daughter and grandchildren -- which is not exactly what you're

saying, I recognize that -- is not acceptable and nor is it -
nor is a home plan of I'm going to release you and you've got

to find out -- figure out a place to live that's -- that does

not have minors there is likewise not acceptable.

I will allow you to come back and consider another home plan, but I -- I am -- I'm also concerned about the extent to which there is information if there are 60 files. There is no evidence right now in front of me as to the timing of when that -- when that came back and when it was -- when they were obtained. I'll use those terms. I don't draw any conclusions one way or the other.

MS. LEE: I have to gently object to the Court's sort of taking an interest to when the evidence is going to be available. The government has -- the rest -- the government decides when he gets arrested and the government --

THE COURT: I agree with that.

MS. LEE: -- goes forward on the evidence that it has that day. There's no provision to like let's see what else the government can get.

THE COURT: I'm not -- I'm not waiting for that.

MS. LEE: Okay.

THE COURT: What I'm concerned about is the fact that there is -- there are a number of files. There's evidence of ongoing sexual interest between 2005 and 2021 of young -- young girls and acting on that with himself after seeing young girls and that there was contact in 2005 and maybe before. And so that concerns me a lot, that -- and so I'm not sure that even living alone takes care of that issue. So, you know, I'm not

asking the government to produce more evidence. I think you're -- you're right about that. And that would be inappropriate for me to do that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The problem is the loss of his job, Your MS. LEE: Honor. The loss of his job is going to have direct consequences for him, obviously, but also his daughter and grandchildren who are not currently involved in this case in any way. And I -- it just -- I think that that's -- it's not helpful to us as a society to take somebody who has not been --I mean, with all -- we all get sick listening to this type of evidence, but we still have to separate what's illegal and what's not illegal. And masturbating is not illegal in any universe. The Court can only consider it to the extent that he may act on it, and there's no evidence before this Court going back 16 years of him ever acting on it. So to say you're losing your job, you're going to sit in jail, even though you're ostensibly presumed innocent of the criminal charges, is a net loss in this kind of -- you know, this economic crisis that we're all in because of COVID, but he's -- his children, his daughter and his grandchildren, especially if his daughter has an addiction problem that she's struggling with and we're just sort of -- we're making things worse for the world, including the very people we're supposed to be protecting, which is his daughter and his grandchildren.

I don't see any limitation on the Court's ordering

him to not go home. That happens all the time in domestic abuse cases, yes, I'm releasing you but you can't go home. The person goes and takes their credit card and goes to a motel until they find themselves a suitable studio apartment.

THE COURT: I can tell you in the time that I've been

THE COURT: I can tell you in the time that I've been here, I've not released somebody without an established home plan.

MS. LEE: That's true. This Court has not. But in other -- in a non -- I can certainly say that from other districts in a nonpresumption case it happens all the time. I do agree that this Court has not done that.

THE COURT: Correct.

MS. LEE: But also we're putting this gentleman in this ridiculous position of having to fashion a home plan without having -- you know, we can see from his pretrial services report he does not have family members he is close with nearby. He can't go live with his mother or whatever one normally does in this situation. So he's in a position where from jail he's supposed to be arranging new homes that I can present it to the Court, and I just --

THE COURT: His daughter -- his daughter may be able to help him to do that. I mean --

MS. LEE: That's true. That is true. That is true. And I'm going to reach out to her. Okay, thank you.

THE COURT: Okay, all right. Thank you.

```
MS. LEE: So the Court is saying "no home plan, I
1
     can't reject him, but you come up with a home plan you can at
2
     least file a motion" --
3
               THE COURT: I'll consider --
4
               MS. LEE: Okay, thank you.
5
               THE COURT: You don't need to file a motion.
6
     ask -- ask Ms. Davis or Ms. Ayersman. Ms. Brown is out this
7
     week. I'm here this week and next week, and then I've got two
8
     weeks that are going to be -- that I'm going to be
9
     unavailable.
10
                         Which is richly -- your unavailability will
11
     be richly deserved by you. And if Ms. Brown is in like Hawaii
12
     right now, then God bless her, because she works too hard.
13
               THE COURT: My unavailability may be more appreciated
14
     by others than most.
15
               Mr. Gray, in all seriousness, if you disagree with my
16
     decision, you do have a right to appeal any decision to a
17
     presiding district judge, we'll assign it. Since it's a
18
     criminal complaint at this time it does not have a judge
19
     assigned, but we would assign it to a judge if you wish to
20
     address it, so...
21
               MS. LEE: Oh, we just lost the judge.
22
               THE CLERK: I probably clicked a wrong button.
23
               MS. LEE:
                          That's a first.
24
               Brittany, will it be possible if we could talk to him
25
```

```
briefly after court adjourns?
               THE CLERK: Sure.
2
               MS. LEE: Thank you.
3
               PROBATION: Christine, do you need his daughter's
4
     phone number?
5
               MS. LEE: Oh, yeah. That's actually what I was going
6
     to ask him for, but I don't want it on the --
7
               PROBATION: I was going to say, well, I have to wait
8
     until the public line goes off.
9
               MS. LEE: You can even email it to me.
10
               PROBATION: I'll email it to you.
11
               MS. LEE: Okay, thank you.
12
               THE COURT: I'm sorry I got disconnected. I was
13
     saying, Mr. Gray, you do have a right to appeal my decision to
14
     the presiding district judge. We will assign a judge if you
15
     wish to do so, but that will be my decision.
16
               All right, very well. Anything else we need to
17
     address, Ms. Cleary?
18
               MS. CLEARY: No, Your Honor.
19
               THE COURT: All right. Ms. Lee?
20
               MS. LEE: No. Mr. Gray, if you can just call my
21
     office Monday morning, I'd be grateful.
22
               THE DEFENDANT: All right. How do I get ahold of
23
     you?
24
               MS. LEE: You tell them it's a Federal Public
25
```

```
Defender and they will put you through, and they should make it
1
     free for you.
2
                THE DEFENDANT: All right, thank you.
3
                MS. LEE: Thank you.
4
                THE COURT: All right, thank you.
5
                We'll stand in recess. Thank you.
6
                MS. LEE:
                          Thank you.
7
                MS. CLEARY: Thank you.
8
                (The proceedings concluded at 4:19 p.m.)
9
                                CERTIFICATE
10
                I, Mary J. Butenschoen, do hereby certify that the
11
     foregoing is a correct transcript of the electronic recording
     in the above-entitled matter.
12
                                /s/ 1/10/2022
13
                     Mary J. Butenschoen, Transcriber
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```